



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/966,613	09/27/2001	Rik Sagar	US018141	4776	
24737 759	90 09/29/2006		EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			JABR, FA	JABR, FADEY S	
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF N	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3639		
		DATE MAILED: 09/29/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/966,613	SAGAR, RIK		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Fadey S. Jabr	3639		
The MAILING D Period for Reply	ATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STAT WHICHEVER IS LON Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from If NO period for reply is spec Failure to reply within the set	GER, FROM THE MAILING D vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 the mailing date of this communication. ified above, the maximum statutory period or extended period for reply will, by statute fice later than three months after the mailin	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE g date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠ This action is FI 3)□ Since this applic	cation is in condition for allowa	uly 2006. s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45			
Disposition of Claims					
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is 7) ☐ Claim(s)	/are rejected.	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) f Applicant may no Replacement draw	t request that any objection to the wing sheet(s) including the correct	er. cepted or b) objected to by the lead of the lead o	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cite 2) Notice of Draftsperson's F	ed (PTO-892) Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate		
3) Information Disclosure St Paper No(s)/Mail Date	atement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/966,613

Art Unit: 3639

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

Claims 1-25 remain pending and are again presented for examination.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 24 July 2006 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

- 2. The declaration filed on 24 July 2006 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Sunyich reference.
- 3. The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish a conception of the invention prior to the effective date of the Sunyich reference. While conception is the mental part of the inventive act, it must be capable of proof, such as by demonstrative evidence or by a complete disclosure to another. Conception is more than a vague idea of how to solve a problem. The requisite means themselves and their interaction must also be comprehended. See *Mergenthaler v*.

 Scudder, 1897 C.D. 724, 81 O.G. 1417 (D.C. Cir. 1897). The mere showing of an invention

Application/Control Number: 09/966,613 Page 3

Art Unit: 3639

disclosure form submitted to the assignee's patent department is insufficient to meet the requirements for due diligence.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sunyich, Pub. No. US2003/0149576 A1.

As per <u>Claim 1</u>, Sunyich discloses an automated booking system for guest accommodations, comprising:

- a guest accommodation which can be automatically booked by the system and used by the customer for a period of time (Para. 16, 17 and 21);
- a provider network of a guest accommodations reservation provider having a data base for customer preferences (Para. 8);
- reserving means for communication between a home network and the provider network for reserving the guest accommodations for a period of use (Para. 8);
- preference transmission means for transmitting customer preferences from a data base of the home network to the data base of the provider network (Para. 26-27);

• Application/Control Number: 09/966,613

Art Unit: 3639

- and means for accessing the provider data base for providing the accommodations depending on the customer preferences (Para. 21).

As per Claim 2, Sunyich further discloses a system in which the guest accommodations are selected from: a hotel room with hotel facilities, a guest office with office facilities, a rental car package, an airline seat package, a restaurant table package, and a train seat package (Para. 45, lines 14-20).

As per <u>Claim 3</u>, Sunyich further discloses a system in which the customer preferences include the configuration of the guest accommodations (Para. 14, lines 1-4).

As per <u>Claim 4</u>, Sunyich further discloses a system in which the customer preferences include preferences regarding the location of the guest accommodations with respect to features of the accommodations or the locations of accommodations of other guests (Para. 15, lines 1-15).

As per <u>Claim 5</u>, Sunyich further discloses system in which the customer preferences include user programmable settings of consumer appliances in the guest accommodations (Para. 16, 17).

As per <u>Claim 6</u>, Sunyich further discloses a system in which the customer preferences include preferred contents of a refrigerator in the guest accommodation (Para. 17, lines 3-5).

As per Claim 7, Sunyich further discloses a system in which the customer preferences include preferred food and food preparation equipment in the guest accommodations (Para. 17, lines 7-11).

As per Claim 8, Sunyich further discloses a system in which the customer preferences include meal preferences (Para. 17).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sunyich, Pub. No. US2003/0149576 A1.

As per Claims 9 and 10, Sunyich fails to explicitly disclose customer preferences including preferred office equipment or supplies in the guest accommodations. However, Sunvich teaches a system in which the customer preferences include preferred environmental settings, food, lighting and internet connection (Para. 16, 17 and 45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the system of Sunyich and include preferences for preferred office equipment because it would provide the customer with an improved user-friendly system with greater convenience.

8. Claims 11-17, and 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sunyich, Pub. No. US2003/0149576 A1 in view of Daum et al., Pub. No. US2003/0109938 A1.

As per <u>Claims 11 and 25</u>, Sunyich discloses an automated booking system for guest accommodations, comprising:

- a guest accommodation which can be automatically booked by the system and used by the customer for a period of time and which can be provided with guest appliances (Para. 16, 17 and 21);
- a network of an accommodations provider, the provider network having a data base,
 the guest appliances of the guest accommodations are connected to the provider
 network (Para. 21);
- reservation means in communication with a home network of a customer and the provider network for reserving the guest accommodations for a period of use
 (Para. 8, 26 and 27);
- settings transmission means for transmitting the user programmable settings of the home appliances of the customer to the provider data base (Para. 8); and
- means for downloading the user programmable settings from the provider data base into the guest appliances of the guest accommodations for programming the appliances of the guest accommodations at the time of the customer's use of the accommodations (Para. 8 and 21).

Nonetheless, Sunyich fails to disclose a system comprising a home network of a customer, the home network interconnecting home appliances having user programmable

settings. However, Daum et al. teaches a home network that transmits commands between a source and appliances (See Claim 1 of Daum et al). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the system of Sunyich and include the preferences from the home network of the customer as taught by Daum et al. because it greatly improves the efficiency of the system by providing the user with convenience and a system that is user-friendly.

As per <u>Claims 12</u>, Sunyich fails to explicitly disclose a system in which settings for a plurality of the customer's home appliances are transmitted, stored and downloaded into corresponding appliances of the accommodations that have functions similar to the corresponding home appliances. However, Sunyich teaches a system that allows users to enter their preferred environmental preferences, and also discloses that the system would be appropriate in other lodging situations (Para. 8 and 45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the system of Sunyich and include the preferences from one's home network because it greatly improves the efficiency of the system by providing the user with convenience and a system that is user-friendly.

As per <u>Claim 13</u>, Sunyich further discloses a system in which the settings of a plurality of the customer's home appliances are analyzed to determine user programmable settings for appliances that are different from the customer's home appliances (Para. 15, lines 11-15).

As per <u>Claim 14-16</u>, Sunyich fails to disclose a system in which the home network includes a data base containing the user programmable settings of devices connected to the home network. However, Daum et al. teaches a database to control the programmable settings of the home network (Para. 34). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the system of Sunyich and include a database with programmable settings of the appliances as taught by Daum et al. because it greatly improves the efficiency of the system by providing the user with convenience and a system that is user-friendly.

As per <u>Claim 17</u>, Sunyich further discloses a system in which the external network includes one or more of: a cable television network, a telephone network, and the internet (Para. 19).

As per <u>Claim 20</u>, Sunyich further discloses a system in which the programmable settings include environmental settings selected from one or more of temperature, humidity, and light level settings (Para. 16).

As per <u>Claim 21</u>, Sunyich further discloses a system in which the programmable settings include a channel map for translating between sources of multimedia programming and means for selecting multimedia programming (Para. 16, lines 10-15).

As per <u>Claim 22</u>, Sunyich further discloses a system in which the means for selecting programming includes a plurality of buttons on a remote control and channel map allows the customer in the hotel room to use the same series of one or more remote control buttons to select a desired programming source as the series of buttons he uses in his home to select that source (Para. 16, lines 10-15).

As per <u>Claim 23</u>, Sunyich further discloses a system in which the programmable settings include settings of software of a personal computer of the hotel room (Para. 16, lines 14-15).

As per <u>Claim 24</u>, Sunyich further discloses a system in which the programmable settings include security settings including a security code of a room alarm system (Para. 15, line 10).

9. Claims **18 and 19** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sunyich, Pub. No. US2003/0149576 A1 in view of Daum et al., Pub. No. US2003/0109938 A1 as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Lee et al., U.S. Patent No. 4,899,373.

As per <u>Claims 18 and 19</u>, Sunyich fails to disclose in which the programmable settings include speed dial settings for dialing make telephone calls or a facsimile by pushing fewer buttons than the number of digits in corresponding phone numbers. However, Lee et al. teaches a database with a customer's programmed speed dials (Col. 1, lines 56-59). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the system of Sunyich and include preferences for programmable settings that include speed dialing

Application/Control Number: 09/966,613

* • Art Unit: 3639

as taught by Daum et al. because it greatly improves the convenience of the system by providing the user with convenience and a system that is user-friendly.

Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fadey S. Jabr whose telephone number is (571) 272-1516. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-6708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Fadey S Jabr Examiner Art Unit 3639

FSJ

Please address mail to be delivered by the United States Postal Service (USPS) as follows:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571) 273-1516 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window, Randolph Building, 401

Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314

/JOHN W. HAYES